Capturing the Range of Learning: Implications for Disaster Health in a Resource Constrained Future

Authors:
Brian A. Altman, PhD
Kandra Strauss-Riggs, MPH
Kenneth W. Schor, DO, MPH

December 2012
Capturing the Range of Learning: Implications for Disaster Health in a Resource Constrained Future


Abstract

Building and maintaining necessary disaster-related learning by health professionals, amidst an environment of resource constraint, is challenging. This article suggests the value of Coombs and Ahmed’s three interrelated “modes of education” (formal, nonformal, and informal) in considering disaster health learning and linkages to performance. Implications of this conceptualization are: drawing on the full range of formal, nonformal, and informal modes, fostering learning outside of classes and training sessions, appropriately encouraging informal means to facilitate learning, and including questions about the mode of learning in evaluation. As resources for disaster health education and training become more constrained, resilience is increased if we focus on what learning is necessary to achieve performance, and then facilitate multiple paths to that learning, including informal learning options which are less resource intensive. Thus, the development of competent disaster health professionals is less vulnerable to the vicissitudes of budget and time.

As we speak with our partners, it is clear that resource constraint is a matter of discussion and concern within the disaster medicine and public health community. This issue is illustrated by the title of the February 2012 Public Health Preparedness Summit, “Regroup, Refocus, Refresh: Sustaining Preparedness in an Economic Crisis.” Competent performance by health professionals prior to, during, and after disaster events can reduce morbidity and mortality and strengthen the health security of the nation. Consequently, one focus of concern is building and maintaining the necessary disaster-related learning by health professionals amidst limited resources. Finding ways to achieve needed learning within a resource constrained environment enhances resilience for the disaster health workforce.

In the health professions, learning has been largely viewed as occurring in either an education or training setting. Much debate occurs around this dualistic view, especially when new knowledge or programs must be institutionalized in the professional health workforce. It is not our intent to define education or training within the realm of disaster health knowledge. Rather, this paper argues that such a bifurcated view of education or training does not offer the full range of options presented by another perspective. A learning perspective that is more inclusive of the adult experience may be particularly timely in our emerging era of dwindling preparedness resources. As resources become scarce, leaders become more focused on learning outcomes and the performance effects of the learning intervention.
The inclusive perspective in Coombs and Ahmed’s three interrelated “modes of education” (formal, nonformal, and informal)\textsuperscript{2,3} provides a useful way of understanding vectors through which learning for performance\textsuperscript{4} takes place. Their conceptualization avoids a narrow focus on completion of training sessions, and illuminates additional paths to achieve necessary learning. Formal education refers to the familiar educational system of schools and grade levels, including university. In formal education, grades are given and degrees are granted.\textsuperscript{5} Nonformal education, by contrast is “organized, systematic, educational activity”\textsuperscript{2, p. 8} but takes place outside of the usual school system. Examples of nonformal education would include educational programs in the community, training in the workplace, and continuing professional education programs. Informal education “is the lifelong process by which every person acquires and accumulates knowledge, skills, attitudes and insights from daily experiences and exposure to the environment”\textsuperscript{2, p. 8} and would include speaking with colleagues and reading journals and other reference materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Disaster Health Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formal</td>
<td>the familiar educational system of schools and grade levels, including university</td>
<td>professional degree programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonformal</td>
<td>“organized, systematic, educational activity”\textsuperscript{2, p. 8} but takes place outside of the usual school system</td>
<td>continuing professional education programs, workplace training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal</td>
<td>“the lifelong process by which every person acquires and accumulates knowledge, skills, attitudes and insights from daily experiences and exposure to the environment”\textsuperscript{2, p. 8}</td>
<td>speaking with colleagues; reading journals, lessons learned and after action reports; experience with actual disasters; participating in drills, exercises, hotwashes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Coombs and Ahmed’s three interrelated “modes of education” (formal, nonformal, and informal)\textsuperscript{2,3} with disaster health examples

Table 1 provides examples of these three modes in the disaster health field. The modes are interrelated, and a case could be made that a drill conducted in the workplace with a specific educational intent might be nonformal rather than informal. Rather than providing a strict classification scheme, the value of Coombs and Ahmed’s typology is in reminding us that all three forms of education are at play over the course of an individual’s career. In particular, it
helps us to remember that much learning occurs through informal means, which has been
described empirically. From a performance perspective, the mode through which the learning
occurs is not as important as that it occurs. Thus, it is critical to overtly value all three modes of
learning, especially for disaster health and particularly when resources are increasingly
constrained.

Figure 1 illustrates these paths to disaster health learning for performance. Education and
training efforts that result in learning are an approach to enhance performance. Performance
during exercises and actual disaster events can indicate prior learning by health professionals,
suggest needs for additional learning, and serve as informal learning for future performance.
Performance is itself a source of learning via a connection back through the informal mode.
Learning related to disasters may also have benefits in the day-to-day performance of health
professionals, as indicated by the dashed arrow. For example, it is logical to propose that
interpersonal and planning skills learned in a disaster preparedness context may offer benefit to
performance in more routine contexts as well.

Figure 1. Paths to Disaster Health Learning for Performance

Thinking of these three modes through which learning can take place suggests the following four
implications for the disaster health community. First, as a community we should draw on the full
range of formal, nonformal, and informal modes to achieve the necessary disaster health learning
and performance. Second, those in educational or leadership positions should foster learning
outside of classes and training sessions (e.g., capturing and sharing learning from experience,
providing online and hard-copy resource materials, encouraging mentoring conversations,
keeping a learning journal). Training courses are not the only way to resolve learning needs.
Third, in areas other than required certifications and mandated training, when limited time and
financial resources constrain more programmatic education and training efforts in disaster health,
we can encourage informal means to facilitate learning. We can provide resources and structures
to enable informal disaster health learning that is integrated with, rather than separate from,
health professionals’ day jobs.
Finally, evaluation should assess learner performance. Evaluation should also include how
learning for the performance occurred. A question such as “How did you learn to do that?”
inquires about the mode or modes through which learning for a particular performance took
place. The follow up “How can we bring that same learning to others?” gathers opinions of how
that learning could be replicated. These two questions provide insight into the learning process
for particular performance, which can inform efforts to promote similar learning for others such
that the competency and capability of the disaster health workforce is enhanced. Such questions
do not replace evaluation of a particular training event (i.e. “What did you learn from the training
session?”) but rather provide suggestions on how to draw from the full range of modes to
promote learning for performance.

For example, suppose an organization needs to enhance performance in some components of
disaster preparedness. Typically, the organization would develop or purchase a program of
training courses to teach the knowledge and skills necessary for achieving the preparedness
performance targets. In this case though, there are little time or financial resources available.
The organization feels stuck. However, other options exist. For instance, organizational leaders
could identify which of their current personnel have the knowledge and skills necessary and ask
them to informally share their knowledge with colleagues. The success of this learning effort
will be in the preparedness performance by personnel. Evaluation should include asking those
individuals how they learned what to do. Future preparedness efforts should work to replicate
that learning with other staff, and maintain it among all personnel. Learning should emphasize
practicing within a scope of care.

Emphasizing a range of modes to enable disaster health learning offers a learning
countermeasure to resource constraint. As resources for disaster health education and training
become more constrained, resilience is increased if we focus on what learning is necessary to
achieve performance, and then facilitate multiple paths to that learning, including options which
are less resource intensive. Thus, the development of competent disaster health professionals is
less vulnerable to the vicissitudes of budget and time.

We are not aware of empirical studies which assess how use of informal learning for disaster
health preparedness and response amidst resource constraint translates to performance. This area
should be a priority for future research. We encourage the disaster health community, and other
elements of the medical education community experiencing resource constraint, to explore how a
full palette of learning modes can open up formerly “invisible” learning options. When
financial or time resource limitations constrain some approaches, other paths can emerge.
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